IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

C.A:04-1199 (SLR)

SRI INTERNATIONAL, INC., a California Corporation

> Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,

> > · v.

INTERNET SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware Corporation, INTERNET) SECURITY SYSTEMS, INC., a Georgia)
Corporation, and SYMANTEC)
CORPORATION, a Delaware) Corporation,

> Defendants and Counterclaim-Plaintiffs.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION

OF

Y. FRANK JOU

At Raleigh, North Carolina January 27, 2006 - 9:53 a.m.

Reported by: Debra D. Bowden

capitalreporting

PO Box 97696 Raleigh, NC 27624 8360 Six Forks Road Suite 101 Raleigh, NC 27615

919.398.7775 ph 919.398.7741 fax

www.capreporting.com

capreporting@aol.com

1			170
1		meant what I meant was the capability we	
2		implement was local in nature.	
3	Q.	Um-hmm.	
4	Α.	And as the goal we try to achieve was to be	
5		able to scale this capability to a global	
6		label. So that was my intent in this	
7		description here. Basically as a next step	
8		in the capability it should be extend from	
9		local to a global area. Global scope.	
10		Yeah.	
11	Q.	Okay. And now the DARPA project was a	
12		three-year project; correct?	
13	Α.	Right.	
14	Ω.	It was a limited in time; correct?	
15	A.	Yeah, um-hmm.	
16	Q.	And limited in funding money; correct?	
17	A.	Yeah.	
18	Q.	Had you had more time and money, would you	
19		have taken that natural extension step to a	
20		more global system?	
21	Α.	Definitely that was in our intent. But you	
22		know, again I should say this was a	
23		research project. There was no guarantee,	
24		you know, we would be able to bear any	

```
fruit even though if the time or resource
1
         is allowed at that point in time.
2
         If you go back to the architecture
3
    Q.
         document, J18, on page 3.
4
         Page 3. Okay.
5
    Α.
         And if you go to Section 2.1.
    Ο.
6
         Um-hmm.
7
    Α.
         And you go to the third paragraph.
8
    Q.
         Um-hmm.
    Α.
9
          The middle of it. And you say, "While it
    Q.
10
          is not within the scope of this project, we
11
          expect that the detection analysis
12
          functions implemented in the local
13
          subsystem can be extended to a global level
14
          and correlate intrusion events among
15
          several routers." Do you see that?
16
          Um-hmm.
17
          And then it goes on to say, "The management
18
     Q.
          capability which is based on SNMP framework
19
          can logically be further extended among
20
          management notes in a hierarchical fashion
21
          to establish a status map for an autonomous
22
          system."
23
```

Α.

24

Um-hmm.

- Q. Now, while your DARPA project was limited in time and funding, did you create the design such that it could be extended in this hierarchical fashion?
 - A. I would not say created, because the SNMP network by its nature is to monitor remote system.
 - Q. Um-hmm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

And be able to reflect a healthy -- the healthy -- the status of the network, you know, it's healthy, whether it's healthy or That was the it's, you know, under stress. intent of the SNMP framework. And our thinking at that point in time was to take advantage of this SNMP by the fact that it's able to monitor several systems in a distributive fashion. And you know, the challenge at that point was how do you correlate. I think that was the main technical challenge at that point in time in terms of how do you collect -- collect of the local detection result was not an The issue was how do you come up issue. with the intelligence, how do you correlate all the relevant information and be able to, you know, derive a certain logical or reasonable conclusion, and able to, based upon this result, take action accordingly. I think that was the challenge, and the -- you know, we did look into that aspect. But however at that point we did not have a very promising, you know, development at that time. At the conclusion of the project. So that was, you know, the open question at that point.

- Q. And if you just saw the term correlate --
- 13 A. Um-hmm.

Q. -- what would that mean to you?

MS. PRESCOTT: Objection to form.

A. Correlate means how do you put two or more than two input together and derive meaningful information, or intelligence, out of these different infrastreams of information, and be able to come up with certain rationale or logic that what this, you know, behavior manifests to itself.

Probably that's kind of lengthy or wordy, but that's my understanding of this

REDACTED

J

REDACTED